

## 1 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY.....	1
II. ARGUMENT.....	1
1. OAH's and CDE's Arguments in their Oppositions Are Flawed.....	2
A. Status Quo.....	2
B. Civil Service Mandate.....	3
C. SEHO Is Irrelevant To this Action, Only OAH's Performance Is Relevant.....	5
D. OAH's Performance in Mediations Is Irrelevant.....	6
E. Plaintiff Has Already Exhausted Administrative Remedies.....	6
F. The United States Department of Education Should Intervene or Otherwise Act To Prevent the Ongoing Harm Caused by OAH and CDE.....	7
2. Irreparable Harm/Likelihood of Success on the Merits.....	9
III. CONCLUSION.....	12

## 14 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i> .....	9
<i>Mills v. Board of Education</i> , (DC, 1972).....	9
<i>Pennsylvania Association for Retarded Children (PARC) v. Pennsylvania</i> (PA, 1971).....	9
<i>Tanner Motor Livery, Ltd. v. Avis</i> , 316 F. 2d 804, 809 (9th Cir. 1963).....	3
<i>United States v. Nutri-cology, Inc.</i> 982 F. 2d 394, 398 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992).....	10
<i>Van Duyn ex rel. Van Duyn v. Baker School Dist. 5J</i> , 502 F. 3d 811,817-18 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007).....	10
STATUTES	Page(s)
California Education Code § 56501.5(d).....	4
United States Code, Title 20, § 1415 (g)(2).....	7
United States Code, Title 20, § 1416 (a)(3)(b).....	4
34 C.F.R. § 300.511(a) and (c).....	7
34 C.F.R. § 300.511(c).....	4, 8
34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) and (c).....	4